## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/523,908	BREITENBACH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HASAN S. AHMED	1615	

	HASAN S. AHMED	1615		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
HE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The data- have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause	
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>		E below),		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		tucina or simplifyina ti	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or	,,			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li> </ol>	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	_	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	planation of	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 28-36.38-41.45-48 and 52-56.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 38-40,45-48 and 5	<u>52-56</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	h la - da		h	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			

/Humera N. Sheikh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated in the final rejection, Ulman does not state that it is incompatible with hydrophobic drugs and applicants have not shown that the composition disclosed by Ulman will not work for its intended purpose with hydrophobic drugs. Applicants are not claiming a hot-melt formulation that differs from what is taught in the prior art. Applicants have substituted rotigotine for other drugs delivered by hot-melt formulation but have not distinguished the claimed hot-melt formulation from what is taught in it the prior art.